Hedging Devices in 'Monavie Juice' Testimony

Nurdiana Universitas Bunda Mulia

Abstract

Culture has a significant influence on how people treat illnesses. Indonesians, for example, believe that traditional healing can help them cure their illnesses. One of the traditional or alternative healing booming in Indonesia is a drink made of fruit or vegetables. This kind of drink is called juice. To convince people that juice is effective enough to cure an illness and to persuade people to buy the product, persuasive testimony is needed. Linguisctically, when discussing persuasive texts, we can relate them to powerful and powerless language. According to Blankenship and Holtgraves (2005: 4), powerless language refers to the use of linguistic features such as tag questions, hesitations, disclaimers, hedges, polite forms, etc. in a text, while powerful language does not denote these features. Hedges can be words like probably, kind of, sort of, and possibly (Carli, 1990; Gibbons, Busch, & Bradac, 1991; Holtgraves & Lasky, 1999; Hosman, 1989; Hosman, Huebner, & Siltamen, 2002 cited in Durik, Britt, Reynolds, and Storey, 2008: 218). Hedges can also be words like approximately, roughly, somewhat, quite, often, or occasionally (Salager-Meyer, 1994: 154). This paper shall discuss what hedges appear in 'Monavie or Acai Active Blend Juice' testimony as there are many types of hedges used in different discourse.

Keywords:

Culture, persuasive testimony, hedging device

1. Background

Culture influences the way people treat illness. WHO (http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs134/en/) says that 80% population in some Asian and African countries depend on traditional medicine for primary health care. In Indonesia, for instance, there is plenty of traditional medicine produced and used by Indonesians. One of them is juice. Juice is a drink which is usually made of some fruit, such as apples, oranges, mangoes, guava, etc. Over the past few years, many articles regarding the advantages of having juice have been written and published in mass media. They say that besides containing

healthy vitamins and minerals, juice can help people cure some ilness if they consume it regularly.

One of the juice distributed in Indonesia is 'Tahitian Noni Juice'. It is made of *Morinda Citrifolia* (*buah murni noni/mengkudu*), grapes, and blue berry. Another one is called 'Monavie or Acai Active Blend Juice'. This juice is not only distributed in Indonesia, but also in Malaysia, Australia, and the United States. It is made of 19 fruit enriched by antioxidants. Thus it is believed that it can help people to cure some ilness.

To convince people that the juiceis effective enough to cure ilness and to persuade people to buy the product, persuasive testimony is needed. Researchers have shown that 'certain linguistic aspects of persuasive messages can affect how they are received by an audience' (Durik, Britt, Reynolds, and Storey, 2008: 218). Thus, in terms of testimony, it should be powerful in order to be able to attract people to buy the juice. Powerful in this context means persuasive. Hence, to convince people to buy the juice, the testimony should be persuasive.

Linguisctically, when discussing persuasive texts, we can relate them to powerful and powerless language. According to Blankenship and Holtgraves (2005: 4), powerless language refers to the use of linguistic features such as tag questions, hesitations, disclaimers, hedges, polite forms, etc. in a text, while powerful language does not denote these features. Hedges can be words like *probably*, *kind of*, *sort of*, and *possibly* (Carli, 1990; Gibbons, Busch, & Bradac, 1991; Holtgraves & Lasky, 1999; Hosman, 1989; Hosman, Huebner, & Siltamen, 2002 cited in Durik, Britt, Reynolds, and Storey, 2008: 218). Hedges can also be words like *approximately*, *roughly*, *somewhat*, *quite*, *often*, or *occasionally* (Salager-Meyer, 1994: 154).

This small research aims to find out what hedges appear in 'Monavie or Acai Active Blend Juice' testimony as there are many types of hedges used in different discourse.

2. Research Questions

In this research I propose the following questions:

- 1) Are there any hedges used in this testimony?
- 2) If yes, what are they?

3. Research Scope and Objectives

The objectives of this research are to discuss the hedges that appear in the testimony. As there are many types of hedges, I will just focus on the ones which are proposed by Salager-Meyer and Kreutz and Harres.

4. Literature Review

Lakoff (1972 cited in Salager-Meyer, 1994: 150) states that hedges denote words or phrases which make things more or less uncertain. From sociolinguistic point of view, hedges, in reference to adverbials, are categorized as lexical items which downgrade and qualify precise propositions. Thus, hedges like *sort of, kind of, you know, I mean* could be seen as primary lexical devices whose functions as a text pragmatic function, particularly as part of politeness strategies. (House-Kaspar 1981 cited in Kreutz and Harres 1997 and Safnil, 2003: 199).

Safnil (2003: 199) underscores that hedges are commonly found in casual and informal speech; however, they are sometimes found in written texts and academic discourse. Salager-Meyer (1994: 154) adopted the following hedges in his study regarding hedges in medical English written discourse:

- 1) **Shields**: to appear, to seem, probably, likely, to suggest, to speculate
- 2) **Approximators**: roughly, somewhat, quite, often, occasionally
- 3) Author's personal doubt and direct involvement: I believe, to our knowledge, it is our view that.....
- 4) **Emotionally-charged intensifiers**: extremely difficult/interesting, dishearteningly weak, of particular importance, particularly encouraging, unexpectedly, surprisingly
- 5) **Compound hedges**: It may suggest that.... It could be suggested that....it would seem likely that....it seems reasonable to assume, It would seem somewhat unlikely that......

Kreutz and Harres (1997 cited in Safnil 2003: 200) propose hedges used in academic discourse: one would, perhaps, possibly, might, should, probably, surely, I think, I suspect, we, it seems, certainly, it suggest, generally, perhaps, chiefly, indeed, of course, I believe, must be avoided, must be made, can be clarified, one must attempt, one must deal with, is considered, can be explained, can be seen, should be mentioned, must be preceded, letus....quite, appears to be, andwould probably have. Though these hedges are used in academic discourse, I think we still can use them in any kind of discourse.

5. Research Method

To answer the research questions, I analyze a text consisting of the testimonies stated by the 'Monavie Juice' users. The hedging devices used to study the testimonies are the ones proposed by Salager-Meyer and Kreutz and Harres. However, I only use some hedges because I think they seem to be more suitable to use to examine the testimonies. They are to appear, to seem, probably, likely, to suggest, quite, often, occasionally, I believe, unexpectedly, surprisingly, perhaps, extremely (difficult/interesting), possibly, might, should, surely, I think, certainly, and generally. In addition, I use unbelieveable, I can't believe, I don't/didn't believeto analyze the testimony as I think, based on the context, they actually have similar meaning with I believe.

6. Results and Discussion

Out of 36 testimonies I have observed, I found some hedges used like *seems* (3), *possibly* (2), *perhaps* (1), *extremely* (6), *quite* (1), *probably* (2), *believe* and its 'modification'(5), and *might* (1). However, most of the hedges are used before the users or the consumers try the juice; instead, they use them when describing their complaints. Indeed, most of traditional medicine begins the testimony with the users' complaints. Then it is followed by the positive effects or the advantages of consuming the traditional medicine.

Table 1. Hedging Devices Used in the Testimony

Hedging devices	Number of hedges found
seems	3
possibly	2
perhaps	1
extremely	6
quite	1
probably	2
I (can't/don't/didn't) believe	4
might	1
unbelievable	1

Table 2. Hedges Found in "The Users' Complaints" / Before Trying the Juice

Hedging devices	The testimonies
seems	1. 'Arthritis <i>seems</i> to run in my family'
possibly	2. 'My son persuaded me to try the 'Acai Active Blend'
didn't believe	juice, even though I didn't believe for a moment it
	could possibly help.'
extremely	3. 'Whatever the cause, it was extremely painful, and
	then led to bone spurs on both heels.'
extremely	4. 'I'm a 52-year-old Alaskan woman who's lived with
	pain ranging from moderate to extremely severe for
	much of my life, starting with sore joints and migraines
	even as a youngster. In the past when I got hungry, my
	blood sugar levels dropped so low that I turned
	extremely grouchy.'
extremely	5. 'I'm extremely activeinto skydiving, skiing, and
	other sports, plus have been a work-out and weight-
	lifting zealot all my life.'

probably	6. 'The doctor told me I had Type II diabetes and had
	probably had it for 5-6 years.'
Extremely(difficult)	7. 'I could barely lift myself from a chair, and the hip
	pain made walking extremelydifficult as well as
	embarrassing.'
probably	8. 'I also had a bad shoulder, <i>probably</i> arthritis or other
	damage, and could lift my arm only about as high as my
	ear.'
extremely	9. 'My range of motion was <i>extremely</i> limited, and the
	pain was at times unbearable.'
might	10. 'Each time this happened, the pain was intense, and
	my fingers locked in a crooked position, like you might
	see with severe rheumatoid arthritis'

Table 3. Hedges Found After Consuming the Juice

Hedging devices	The testimonies
perhaps	1. 'The craving for coffee was substantially diminished
	almost immediately, perhaps because of having more
	natural energy and no longer needing the ongoing "pick-
	up."
seem	2. 'She said she didn't understand why, but she was
	feeling better and the pain seemed to be going away.'
possibly	3. 'You can't <i>possibly</i> know how grateful I am for this
	amazing product.'
quite	4. 'The pain was excruciating for <i>quite</i> some time, then
	gradually improved.'
(could hardly)	5. 'I could hardly believe I wasn't even tired when we
believe	got there.'
seem	6. 'She <i>seemed</i> to have a new sense of well being and
	serenity about her and was thinking things through

	before just acting on them as she'd done in the past.'
can't believe	7. I can't believe this juice! I drank Monavie with the
	acai fruit on Friday morning and I felt better the next
	day.
(really cannot)	8. I really cannot believe what MonaVie Active juice has
believe	done for me "it's like magic I feel re born". This miracle
unbelievable	juice is <i>unbelievable</i> and has also given me a tremendous
	boost of energy.

Based on the results, shown in the table 1, 2, and 3, the most hedges (the ones that I adopted and used in this research) found in the testimonies are *extremely*, *believe* and its 'modification' such as *unbelievable*, *I didn't believe*, (*could hardly*) *believe*, *can't believe*, and (*really cannot*) *believe*. The hedge *extremely* is only found in "the users' complaints"/before trying the juice. The hedge *believe* and its *modification* are mostly found in "after consuming the juice". The discussion on these findings could focus on the most hedges found *extremely* and *believe*, such as why these two hedges are mostly found in the testimonies. 'Are they commonly used in traditional medicine testimony?' 'Do these two hedges make the testimony less powerless?' 'Why is *extremely* only found in the users' complaints?' However, I need to conduct further investigation regarding *extremely* and *believe* as the aim of this research is only to find out the hedging devices which appear in the testimony.

The discussion on the other hedges, *might* and *perhaps*, could be related to what Fraser (1975) says regarding these two hedges. He states that *might* along with other modal verbs like *would*, *should*, and *could* are considered as the hedged performative while *perhaps* is an example of force idioms. (Fraser, 1996). He explains that 'when *perhaps* occurs before an imperative, it narrows the force of the utterance to a suggestion.' Nevertheless, he does not explore these two hedges in a very specific context, such as testimony.

7. Conclusion

Results of the data analysis show that the hedges which are mostly found in 'Monavie Juice' testimony are *extremely* and *believe* and *its modification*. However, I need to conduct further study in order to find out why these two hedges appear most compared to the others. Moreover, further investigation should be conducted as the hedge *extremely* is only found in the "the users' complaints"/before trying the juice while the hedge *believe* is mostly found in "after consuming the juice."

References

- Blankenship, Kevin L. & Thomas Holtgraves. (2005). The Role of Different Markers of Linguistic Powerlessness in Persuasion. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology* 2005; 24; 3.
- Durik, Amanda M, M. Anne Britt, Rebecca Reynolds & Jennifer Storey. (2008). The Effects of Hedges in Persuasive Arguments: A Nuanced Analysis of Language. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology* 2008; 27; 217.
- Fraser, Bruce. (1975). Hedged Performatives. In Peter Cole and Jerry L. Morgan (eds). *Syntax and Semantics Speech Acts Volume 3*. New York: Academic Press.
- Fraser, Bruce. (1996). Pragmatic Markers. Quarterly Publication of the International Pragmatics Association Vol. 6 No.2.
- Meyer-Francoise Salager. (1994). Hedges and Textual Communicative Function in Medical English Written Discourse. *English for Specific Purposes: An International Journal*. Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 149-170.
- Safnil. (2003). Hedging Devices in a Written Discourse: Samples from Indonesian Academic Texts. *Konferensi Linguistik Tahunan Atma Jaya*, 17-18 Februari 2003.
- (<u>http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs134/en/</u>) retrieved in November 2011.

www.monavie.com retrieved in November 2011.