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ABSTRACT

Wide open employment in the tourism industry leads to the urgent needs for ready to work manpower. In the tourism industry, the readiness of the workforce in addition to the operational skills of the work is the ability of foreign language that is widely used globally in the world, in this case is English. Tourism education institutions as tourism human resources factories not only must prepare operational skills, but also English skills which is important to avoid complaints from graduates’ saying that educational institutions do not provide sufficient English supplies. In order to provide sufficient English supplies, student’s English proficiency should be known at this time along with the reason, to know what can improve student’s spirit of learning, because English for Tourism Purposes (ETP) can not be measured only by tests such as TOEFL / TOEFL / IELTS. The results of this study is expected to be a improvement material to improve the quality of every tourism student’s English in order to prepare graduates who are ready to work in a Global environment.
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INTRODUCTION

Tourism is one of the most powerful weapons in developing a country's economy. In Indonesia itself, tourism placed as the nation's backbone economy, Indonesia has many competitive advantages and comparative advantages. In 2019 the Tourism Industry is projected to be the largest foreign exchange earner, USD 24 Billion, beyond the oil and gas, coal and palm oil sectors. The impact of the foreign exchange is immediately felt by all levels of society (Ministry of Tourism of Indonesia, 2016)

The development of tourism industry must be balanced with the availability of the
ready to work human resources in the field of Tourism. Until 2017, Tourism-based Education Institutions throughout Indonesia can only contribute 2% of the total workforce in the Tourism sector in Indonesia (Coordinating Ministry of Economic Affairs, 2017)

Tourism was established as an autonomous science in 2013, causing in previous years Tourism based Education Institutions are still very few. However, since 2013, many Education Institutions have begun to open a Tourism based study program, or establish a new Tourism based Education Institution.

Tourism-based education institutions are certainly responsible for producing well-prepared graduates in tourism. In addition to a range of operational skills in tourism, other skills required which are closely related to the tourism industry are language skills. In this case is the ability to speak English, which became the common language widely used in the World.

Language skills used in tourism employment practices are also very different from academic language skills, not necessarily those with good language test scores (TOEIC / TOEFL / IELTS) capable of applying the language to work in tourism operations.

A research about an evaluation of English teaching and leaning in Tourism training programs was done in Vietnam by Khuong (2015) result showed that key stakeholders held somewhat negative opinions about the English language teaching and learning in most of the tourism programs provided by the tertiary institutions examined in Vietnam. As a result, these programs produced insufficient employable students to satisfy the growing demand of international tourists.

The students’ level English was evaluated as unsatisfactory in comparison with the requirements of the lecturers and companies. The students blamed the programs for not providing them with that competence. The main factors for these unexpected outcomes included inappropriate laws with regard to the issue of international tour-guiding permit, unsatisfactory quality of teaching staff, an inappropriate amount of time allocated for foreign language learning in the curriculum, insufficient quality of foreign language teaching materials, inadequate opportunities for students to communicate in the target language, and ineffective assessment processes.

Hospitality and Tourism Study Program, Bunda Mulia University is a tourism-based study program in Indonesia which since its establishment in 2013 shows improvement in various aspects such as number of students, student achievement and achievement of Study Program Accreditation. In 2018, the Hospitality and Tourism Study Program graduates its students for the first time with the absorption of more than 70% of students working before graduation. And from 70% of the students who have worked, the feedback data processing from graduate users says that 70% students' English skills are excellent and 20% good, only 10% have enough English skills. Meanwhile, when students do On The Job training still get many input which said that students can actually use English but still shy.

To ensure that the results of research in Vietnam where students blame the study program because they are not giving enough teaching and learning in language skills would not happen. It is necessary to research about Four Basic Skills Proficiency based on Students' Perception in Hospitality & Tourism Study Program, Bunda Mulia University which is the basis of the ability of English for Tourism Purposes (ETP).

LITERATURE REVIEW

The Four Basic Skills

Language educators have long used the concepts of four basic language skills: Listening, Speaking, Reading, Writing. These four language skills are sometimes called the "macro-skills". This is in contrast to the "micro-skills" such as grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation and spelling. The four basic skills are related to each other by two parameters: the mode of communication: oral or written and the direction of communication:
receiving or producing the message. Listening comprehension is the receptive skill in the oral mode. When we speak of listening what we really mean is listening and understanding what we hear. Listening comprehension is the receptive skill in the oral mode. When we speak of listening what we really mean is listening and understanding what we hear. Speaking is the productive skill in the oral mode. It, like the other skills, is more complicated than it seems at first and involves more than just pronouncing words. Speaking is often connected with listening. For example, the two-way communication makes up for the defect in communicative ability in the traditional learning. Temple and Gillet (1984) as cited in Aydoğan & Akbarov (2014) also emphasize the close relationship between listening and speaking in this way.

Reading is the receptive skill in the written mode. It can develop independently of listening and speaking skills, but often develops along with them, especially in societies with a highly-developed literary tradition. Reading can help build vocabulary that helps listening comprehension at the later stages, particularly. Writing is the productive skill in the written mode. It, too, is more complicated than it seems at first, and often seems to be the hardest of the skills, even for native speakers of a language, since it involves not just a graphic representation of speech, but the development and presentation of thoughts in a structured way (Aydoğan & Akbarov, 2014).

English for Specific Purposes (ESP)

Mackay and Mountford (1978) as cited in Lamri (2016) stated that “ESP is generally used to refer to the teaching of English for a clearly utilitarian purpose.” That is to say that English should be taught to achieve specific language skills using real situations, in a manner that allows them to use English in their future profession, or to comprehend English discourse related to their area of speciality. Generally, the students study English “not because they are interested in the English Language or English culture as such, but because they need English for study or work purposes” (Robinson, 1991 as cited in Lamri, 2016). In ESP, “language is learnt not for its own sake or for the sake of gaining a general education, but to smooth the path to entry or greater linguistic efficiency in academic, professional or workplace environments” (Basturkmen, 2006 as cited in Lamri 2016). This denotes that, the role of ESP is to help language learners to build up the needed abilities in order to use them in a specific field of inquiry, occupation, or workplace. These definitions were stated according to ESP evolution through time, this latter is viewed in more details in the following section.

English for Tourism Purposes (ETP)

According to Leslie & Russell (2006) as cited in Zahedpisheh, Bakar, and Saffari (2017), being skillful in foreign language skills is necessary for people working in the tourism and hospitality sector. The reason is that it is the means for having communication with foreign tourists and understanding cultural differences. In fact, for those who are seeking employment in the tourism, hospitality and service industry, it is essential to stay highly motivated in order to be accurately fluent in a high level of professional service language. In fact, they are required to become proficient in English for Tourism Purposes (Cravotta, 1990 as cited in Zahedpisheh, Bakar, & Saffari, 2017).

Moreover, Cho (2005) as cited in Zahedpisheh, Bakar, & Saffari (2017) believes that English for tourism is viewed as an area of business English and incorporate business English and English for academic purposes by bridging workplace communication and classroom use. This can help to increase the job opportunities in the international industry. English for tourism is known as one of the attractive subjects since many of the people will be a tourist at least once in their lifetime. Moreover, learning English for tourism purposes is crucial for those who are working in guest-host relation section such as hotels, travel agencies, restaurants, information centres and tourist attractions. In industrial sectors, English has recently become a core...
subject, and hospitality training programs help
the employees achieve English proficiency to
fulfil the job responsibilities (Hsu, 2010; Chen,
Chiu, & Lin, 2011; Kuppan, 2008 as cited in
Zahedpisheh, Bakar, & Saffari, 2017).
According to Buhler (1990) as cited in
Zahedpisheh, Bakar, & Saffari, 2017), English
for tourism is comparable to other languages
and can be examined by principal properties
such as functions, structures, and tenses. In
fact, English for tourism is a structured
language and follows definite grammar rules.
Dann (2001, as cited in Zahedpisheh, Bakar,
& Saffari, 2017) states that the vocabulary used
in the English for tourism is special and
conveys messages through a conventional
system of symbols and codes. For instance,
the language used for checking into a hotel, giving
information about hotel facilities, meal times,
giving directions, requesting and giving tourist
information, and other communicative
activities that can take place in any hospitality
setting. Strutt (2003) as cited in Zahedpisheh,
Bakar, & Saffari, 2017), in his introduction,
describes his textbook (English for
International Tourism) as needing no
specialized knowledge ‘it is not technical or
over-specialized in nature.’ In fact, ESP
textbooks are significantly similar to any
general English textbook. For instance,
grammar in these books is used as a means of
structure. However, all the examples are used
within the tourism, medicine, or business
context make a distinction from a usual ELT
textbook (Brieger & Sweeney, 1994 as cited in
Zahedpisheh, Bakar, & Saffari, 2017).

The Study of Communication Instead of
Language
Clearly English for Specific Purposes
(ESP) has moved some way from its original
exclusive focus on text features. In the past,
materials were often based solely on the
lexical and grammatical characteristics of
scientific and business discourses in isolation
from their social contexts. Today these
materials have largely been replaced by those
that acknowledge wider interactional and
semiotic contexts, where language and tasks
are more closely related to the situations in
which they are used. ESP practitioners now
address wider communicative skills in their
teaching. In the area of research, ESP attempts
to go beyond texts to understand how they
work in particular disciplines or professions,
seeing genres, for instance, as recognizable
kinds of social activity embedded in particular
kinds of interaction rather than just
arrangements of forms (Hyland, 2007).
To understand language and the
functions it performs for people, we have to
appreciate how it is used within particular
contexts, identifying the purposes and
participants that are integral to the construction
of particular communicative processes and
products. We need, for instance, to understand
the interpersonal conventions a sales manager
might observe when giving a client
presentation or the knowledge a chemist
assumes of his or her audience when writing
up a lab report. In the classroom, these
concerns translate into finding ways of
preparing students to participate in a range of
activities and to see ESP as concerned with
communicative practices rather than more
narrowly with specific aspects of language
(Hyland, 2007).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research design used is
Descriptive Design and Correlational, which
aims to see the relationship between two
variables. Correlation does not guarantee the
existence of causality (causality), but causality
ensures correlation. The research location is
Bunda Mulia University, Jakarta. The study
was conducted from January to May 2018.

The total population of Hospitality and
Tourism Study Program, Bunda Mulia
University is approximately 590 students. As
for the sample, Malhotra (as cited in Husein,
2003) mentions that for descriptive and
quantitative research, the required number of
samples is 100 units. By following these
guidelines, the study used 115 respondents as
samples.

Respondents asked to fill out e-
questionnaire that is given to them and filled
directly. The respondents remain completely
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anonymous. The measurement measured here is based on a measurement held by Bobanovic and Grzinic (2011), but on this research there is no comparative study. The questionnaire was divided into four sections according to the traditional four skills (reading, writing, listening, and speaking) and one general question’s sections. Respondents were asked to choose the most appropriate answer.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Respondents’ Socio-Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Respondents’ Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Respondents’ History of English Learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did they ever take an English course?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Respondents’ Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents’ Perception on Reading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chart 1. Reasons/Motives for Reading in English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reasons/Motives for Reading English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Non-Operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fun (Music, Movie, Fiction Book, Surfing on the Internet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update to the overseas news</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reading any English’s books</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Above chart showed us why the respondents read in English, mostly is because they want to have fun through music, movie, fiction book, and surfing on the Internet. But sadly only 7,80% respondents read English to read Educational literature (Non Operational), and 13,90% respondents read English to read Educational literature (Operational) whereas the majority of tourism books use English.

Chart 2. Parts of English Reading Respondents Find Most Difficult to Understand

Idiom phrases become the main reason why reading English becomes difficult to understand with 56,5% or more than half of respondents. The second reason is because they just think that all parts of reading is just difficult with 15,70%.

Respondents’ Perception on Writing

Chart 3. Reasons / Motives for Writing in English
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As the respondents are active tourism students, 59% of respondents write English for educational purposes, 21.70% is writing for non operational education purposes and 31.30% is writing English for operational education purposes. Meanwhile another reasons/motives for writing English are Correspondence and Translating which may also relate to education process held in study program where the research took place. Only 7.80% respondents said they do not write English at all.

Chart 4. Respondents’ Opinions on the Most Difficult Part in Writing in English

Grammar becomes the most difficult part in writing English with 53.90%, 13.90% respondents said that Phrasal Verbs is difficult. 7.80% respondents chose Negations and Questions as the most difficult part, 3.50% other respondents chose Pronouns and Prepositions, while 1.70% respondents chose spelling. And 19.10% respondents said that all parts of writing English is difficult.

Respondents’ Perception on Speaking

Chart 5. Reasons/Motives for Speaking in English
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Speaking English for operational in tourism education purposes becomes major reasons/motives in speaking English with 30,40% of the respondents, meanwhile speaking English for non operational in tourism education purposes selected by 27% of respondents. Usage of speaking English to communicate with others had 23,50%, Individual studying with audio had 15,70% and only 3,50% of respondents said the have no reasons/motives for speaking English.

Chart 6. Respondents’ Opinions on Difficulties in Speaking in English

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Difficulty</th>
<th>% of Respondent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Find appropriate words</td>
<td>27,00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct sentences correctly</td>
<td>30,40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use right grammar</td>
<td>15,70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak English itself (understand grammar)</td>
<td>23,50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak English itself (do not understand grammar)</td>
<td>3,50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is quite surprising that there is 23%,50 had difficulties in speaking in English even-tough they understand grammar. While another 73,10% respondents are striving in speaking in English with the right ordinance, there is 3,50% of respondents who had difficulties because they do not understand grammar.

Respondents’ Perception on Listening

Chart 7. Reasons/Motives for Listening in English

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason/Motive</th>
<th>% of Respondent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edu Non Operational</td>
<td>29,60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edu Operational</td>
<td>30,40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update to the overseas news</td>
<td>17,40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listen to peers, friends or family abroad</td>
<td>16,50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>6,10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Except for the reasons/motives in reading English. Education reasons/motives both for Tourism operational and Tourism Non Operational learning purposes become the major reason why respondents write, speak, and now listen as 60% respondents had education as their reason in listening English. This shows that the learning process conducted in the study program where the research conducted implementing education with the use of good English, thus honing students to have three basic English skills.

Chart 8. Respondents’ Opinions on the Biggest Obstacles in Understanding English When Listening

The above chart showed that Vocabulary, Grammar, and Idiomatic Phrases are not the biggest obstacles in understanding English when Listening, but English speaker who talks too fast is become the biggest obstacle with 39,10% of respondents. 29,60% respondents feel hard to understand English when listening because of the accent of people who talks. And only 11,30% said all parts of listening in English is difficult.

Respondents’ Perception on Overall English Skills

39,10% of respondents. 29,60% respondents feel hard to understand English when listening because of the accent of people who talks. And only 11,30% said all parts of listening in English is difficult.
Unfortunately, only 4.30% of respondents said that they have excellent English, 52.20% respondents said their English is Good and 43.50% said that their English is not so good.

Respondents’ Perception on English Courses Provided by Study Program

More than half of the respondents said that the number of credits of English courses provided is adequate, 17.40% of respondents said that it is more than adequate, and 23.50% of respondents said that the number of credit of English courses is still inadequate.

Chart 10. Respondents’ Perception on the Number of Credits of English Courses

Chart 11. English Application of English-Speaking Lecturers Who Teach in English Courses

Only 8.70% of respondents said that the English application of English speaking lecturers in English courses is inadequate. It is just minority of the respondents as 53.90%
respondents said the English application is more than adequate and 37.40% said adequate. On the other hand, even though the operational tourism lecturer did not have any formal education in English but respondents said that English application of English speaking lecturers for Non-English courses (Operational) is 50.40% adequate, 40% more than adequate. And only 9.60% said that the English application is still inadequate.

Chart 12. English Application of English-Speaking Lecturer Who Teach Non-English Courses/Operational Courses

**CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS**

In terms of reading, respondents have the major reasons/motives to read in English for Fun (Music, Movie, Fiction Book, and Surfing on the Internet), and Part of reading in English that they find the most difficult to understand is about Idiom Phrases. In terms of writing, respondents have the major reasons/motives to write in English for Education in operational studies, and Part of writing in English that they find the most difficult to do is Grammar. In terms of speaking, respondents have the major reasons/motives to speak in English for Education in operational studies as well as writing in English’s reasons/motives, and Part of speaking in English that they find the most difficult to do is Grammar as well. In terms of listening, respondents have the major reasons/motives to speak in English for Education in operational studies as well as reading and writing in English’s reasons/motives, and part of listening in English that they find the most difficult to do is that English speakers tend to talk too fast.

4.30% of respondents said that they have excellent English, 52.20% respondents said their English is Good and 43.50% said that their English is not so good. The result shown at provision of English language that has been prepared by study program shows that study program already gave adequate and more than adequate English language provision.

For future research, it is necessary to study the students’ English proficiency from the point of view of graduate users. Meanwhile, for the study program and the academic institutions, it is necessary to socialize the understanding that English for Tourism Purposes (ETP) is different from the English for Academic Purposes (EAP), which in English for Tourism Purposes (ETP) grammar is important but not everything. Moreover, it is necessary to add the provision of English language even though the respondent said that the provision given by the
study program is enough. But looking at the overall English skills assessment there are still 43.5% of respondents who said that their English is not good enough. Finally, it is necessary to take corrective action to overcome the problems faced by students in mastering four basic skills in English.
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